

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 10/595,706 | 12/29/2006 | Runo Nielsen | 742111-173 | 5078 | | |
| 25570 ROBERTS MI | 7590 09/29/200 LOTKOWSKI SAFRA | EXAM | EXAMINER | | | |
| Intellectual Property Department | | | BEHM, HARR | BEHM, HARRY RAYMOND | | |
| P.O. Box 1006 MCLEAN, VA | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| , | | 2838 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 09/29/2009 | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/595,706 | NIELSEN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| HARRY BEHM | 2838 | | |

| | TRUCKI BETTIN | 2000 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be oblained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the manufacture of the set of the se | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | , , | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1 would be allowable allowable claim(s). | ole if submitted in a separate, timely | filed amendment car | celing the non- |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-4</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidavi | it or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____ 13. Other: .

> /Harry Behm/ Examiner, Art Unit 2838

Continuation of 3:

Amended daim 3 presents new issues, such as combining some of the limitations of claim 1 with some of the limitations of claim 3, not previously presented that require additional consideration and/or search.

Applicant argues claim 3 has been rewritten in independent form, but claim 3 lacks numerous limitations of either previously presented claim 1 or claim 3, including wherein the feedback signal linearizes the influence of the first feedback signal.